NATIONAL SHATTERPROOF ADDICTION STIGMA INDEX SUBSTANCE TYPE COMPARISON ANALYSIS, 2024 NATIONAL RECREATIONAL ONSET SAMPLE (N=6,738)

2024 Shatterproof Addiction Stigma Index (SASI) Methodology

Shatterproof developed the Shatterproof Addiction Stigma Index (SASI) survey instrument, alongside Dr. Brea Perry and Dr. Anne Krendl from Indiana University, by incorporating validated and unique stigma questions into one comprehensive survey. Data derived from the questionnaire provide information on the landscape of addiction stigma within the U.S. which can be used to inform establishment of addiction-related policies and priorities, as well as assess strategies to reduce addiction stigma.

Questionnaire

The 2024 SASI leverages a 6x2 vignette strategy targeting five substances: prescription opioid, heroin, methamphetamine, alcohol, and marijuana and describes an individual as being in active use, or in active recovery. Respondents are randomly assigned one of the twelve vignettes, then proceed to the 95-item questionnaire containing Likert response options ranging from 1 (lowest level of stigma) to 4 (highest level of stigma).

Sampling Design & Survey Administration

The SASI is conducted periodically by Ipsos Public Affairs on behalf of Shatterproof. It's a cross-sectional online panel survey comprised of non-institutionalized adults (18 and older) residing in the United States. Ipsos utilizes KnowledgePanel® (KP) for recruitment, which is the largest online panel relying on probability-based sampling techniques. KP's recruitment process is based on an Address-Based Sample (ABS) recruitment methodology via the U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence File (DSF) to select address-based samples that are nationally representative of all households. Stratified random sampling is used to ensure the geodemographic composition of panel members accurately represents the U.S. adult population. Panel members receive an email containing a link to the SASI questionnaire, which can also be accessed through a personalized member portal. Individuals are allotted approximately two weeks to complete the survey. Frequent reminders are sent to all non-responding panel members. Ipsos operates an incentive program to encourage panel participation.

Data Collection & Weighting

Data is collected by Ipsos, who formats the dataset with appropriate variable and value labels and calculates post-stratification statistical weights to ensure the sample reflects geodemographic distributions by gender, age, race/ethnicity, education, census region, household income, home ownership, household size, metropolitan area, Hispanic origin, and language dominance. Ipsos delivers the fully formatted dataset to Shatterproof for analysis.

National Samples

The 2024 SASI was fielded in English and Spanish from March 27, 2024 to April 8, 2024 to a probability-based sample of U.S. adults aged 18 and over. A total of 15,706 U.S. residents were invited to complete the survey, with 10,064 responding to it (completion rate=67%) and 8,202 qualifying as completions (qualification rate=81%). The total sample was reduced by 112 (or 1.4%) due to complete missingness on all items in the public stigma scale, structural stigma scale, or MOUD stigma scale, leaving an analytic sample size of 8,090 respondents. A demographic breakdown can be found in Appendix I.

Survey Analysis

A cross-sectional analysis is conducted to examine differences in addiction stigma by recreational onset substance type. Survey results are presented as univariate descriptive statistics - weighted stigma mean scores. Stigma scales and subscales are calculated as the mean for all non-missing values of the composite stigma items. Stigma scales include public stigma (14 items), structural stigma (5 items), and MOUD stigma (4 items) (See Appendix II). Public stigma can be deconstructed into three subscales: traditional prejudice (5 items), home life social distancing (5 items), and workplace social distancing (4 items). Mean scores are calculated by summing the responses for all scale items and dividing by the number of items comprising the scale. Respondents answering zero of the composite scale items are excluded from analysis, while respondents answering at least one of the items are included. Additional missing responses are dropped



NATIONAL SHATTERPROOF ADDICTION STIGMA INDEX SUBSTANCE TYPE COMPARISON ANALYSIS, 2024 NATIONAL RECREATIONAL ONSET SAMPLE (N=6,738)



on a model-by-model basis. Adjusted Wald tests are utilized to compare stigma means and item proportions, and the F-statistic is considered significant at the p<0.05 level.

This report specifically details cross-sectional analyses examining differences in addiction stigma by substance type with recreational onset. The analysis only includes comparisons of stigma scale.

Table 1. Addiction Stigma Scales: Mean Scores by Substance Type and Statistical Differences, National SASI Recreational Onset Sample (N=6,738)

Stigma Scale	Prescription Medication (N=1,337)	Heroin	Stimulant	Alcohol	Marijuana	Substance Differences (p<0.05)	Overall
		(N=1,353)	(N=1,349)	(N=1,345)	(N=1,354)		(N=6,738)
Public Stigma	2.51 (0.02)	2.66 (0.02)	2.71 (0.02)	2.46 (0.02)	2.43 (0.02)	RX < H***; RX < S***; RX > M** H > A***; H > M*** S > A***; S > M***	2.55 (0.01)
Structural Stigma	1.82 (0.02)	1.89 (0.02)	1.89 (0.02)	1.79 (0.02)	1.84 (0.02)	RX < H**; RX < S** H > A***; H > M* S > A***; M > A*	1.85 (0.01)
MOUD Stigma	2.26 (0.02)	2.29 (0.02)	2.30 (0.02)	2.30 (0.02)	2.29 (0.02)		2.29 (0.01)

A - Alcohol; H - Heroin; M - Marijuana; MOUD - Medication for Opioid Use Disorder, RX - Prescription Medication; S - Stimulant

Data Source: National SASI Survey, March 2024

Note: Weighted mean (standard error): Adjusted Wald Tests (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001) used across means to identify differences

*Substance type mean scores are not compared to the national mean score due to each substance type being a component of the national mean score.

NATIONAL SHATTERPROOF ADDICTION STIGMA INDEX SUBSTANCE TYPE COMPARISON ANALYSIS, 2024 NATIONAL RECREATIONAL ONSET SAMPLE (N=6,738)



NATIONAL SHATTERPROOF ADDICTION STIGMA INDEX SUBSTANCE TYPE COMPARISON ANALYSIS, 2024 NATIONAL **RECREATIONAL ONSET SAMPLE (N=6,738)**



APPENDIX I: Demographic Characteristics, 2024 Shatterproof Addiction Stigma Index, National Sample (N=8,090)

	Summary				
	(N=8,090)				
		ender			
)	3,858 (46.9%)	Male			
)	4,232 (53.1%)	Female			
		ge			
)	946 (18.4%)	18-29			
)	1,907 (26.7%)	30-44			
))	2,098 (24.7%)	45-59			
)	3,139 (30.3%)	60+			
		ace/Ethnicity			
o)	5,679 (60.9%)	White, non-Hispanic			
))	811 (11.3%)	Black, non-Hispanic			
)	958 (16.9%)	Hispanic			
)	642 (10.9%)	Other/2+ Race, non-Hispanic			
		arital Status			
))	4,664 (53.6%)	Married			
))	1,487 (16.0%)	Widowed/Divorced/Separated			
)	1,939 (30.4%)	Never Married			
		ducation			
	454 (9.6%)	No high school diploma or GED			
o)	1,857 (25.1%)	High school graduate (high school diploma or the equivalent GED)			
)	2,222 (29.4%)	Some college or Associate's degree			
)	3,557 (35.9%)	Bachelor's degree or higher			
		mployment Status			
))	3,293 (39.1%)	Unemployed			
)	4,795 (60.9%)	Employed			
		ousehold Income			
))	1,972 (25.0%)	Less than \$50,000			
))	2,306 (29.3%)	\$50,000 to \$99,999			
))	3,812 (45.7%)	\$100,000 or more			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	esidents by Region			
)	1,469 (17.4%)				
		Midwest			
•					
,	, , ,				
b) b) b) b) b) b) b)	4,795 (60.9%)	Employed ousehold Income Less than \$50,000 \$50,000 to \$99,999 \$100,000 or more esidents by Region Northeast			

Data Source: National SASI Survey, March 2024

Note: Unweighted frequency (weighted %); Analytic sample, N=8,090, 112 cases dropped from total sample (N=8,202) due to complete missingness.

Northeast region states: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Midwest region states: Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

South region states: Alabama, Arkansas, DC, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

West region states: Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.



APPENDIX II: Stigma Scales and Associated Items, Shatterproof Addiction Stigma Index

Scale	Description	Subscale/s	Item/s		
Public Stigma	Measures stigmatizing	Home Life Social Exclusion	How willing would you be to move next door to John? How willing would you be to spend an evening socializing with John? How willing would you be to have a group home for people like John opened in your neighborhood? How willing would you be to have John marry into your family? How willing would you be to have John as a close personal friend?		
	attitudes and beliefs about people with substance use disorders, including indicators of traditional prejudice and preference for social exclusion.	Workplace Social Exclusion	How willing would you be to have John start working closely with you on a job? How willing would you be to hire John to do work for you? How willing would you be to have John as your supervisor at work? How willing would you be to have John as your co-worker?		
		Traditional Prejudice	In your opinion, how able is John to make his own decisions about managing his own money? People like John are unpredictable. In your opinion, how likely is it John would do something violent toward other people? In your opinion, how likely is John to be trustworthy? In your opinion, how likely is John to be competent?		
Scale	Description		Item/s		
Structural Stigma	Measures support for discrimination against people with substance use disorders in major social institutions.		Employers should provide opportunities for John to seek treatment and stay employed. If John wanted to go to treatment, his health insurance should be required to cover it in the same way they would cover any other chronic illness. Healthcare providers should care for someone like John just as they would treat anyone else with a chronic illness. Schools should be allowed to expel someone like John if they found out about his problems. People who are addicted to drugs should receive treatment instead of being sentenced to prison for drug-related, non-violent crimes.		
Scale	Description		Item/s		
MOUD Stigma	Measures prejudicial attii medication-assisted treat and people who use MOI recovery	ment for OUD	MOUD just substitutes one drug for another. More healthcare providers should offer MOUD so it is easily accessible to people who want it. MOUD is an effective treatment for OUD. I would be willing to have a clinic that provided MOUD to people with OUD in my neighborhood.		

MOUD - Medications for Opioid Use Disorder